



Review article

Evidence For The Existence Of A Creator: A Scientific and Philosophical Inquiry into Biological Complexity, Cellular Organization, Sexual Reproduction, Genetic Information, and Immunology.

Ali Abedulameer Alhusayni *¹

1 Department of Microbiology, Al-Shomali General Hospital, Babylon Health Directorate, Babylon, Iraq

ABSTRACT:

This theoretical research paper presents a rigorous scientific and philosophical examination of evidence pointing toward an intelligent Creator, drawn from five independent domains of modern biology and physics. The five pillars examined are: irreducible biological complexity, the extraordinary precision of cellular organization, the enigma of sexual reproduction and abiogenesis, the informational content of the genetic code, and the sophisticated architecture of the immune system.

Each domain is analyzed through the lens of established scientific literature and interpreted through the philosophical framework of Inference to the Best Explanation (IBE). The paper reviews and critically engages with the principal arguments of Behe, Dembski, Meyer, Craig, and Collins, while acknowledging the genuine contributions and limitations of evolutionary and materialist explanations. The cumulative case presented here argues that the hypothesis of an intelligent, transcendent designer constitutes the most parsimonious and epistemically robust explanation for the observed data.

Keywords:

Biological complexity, intelligent design genetic code, immune system origin of life, natural theology, fine-tuning argument, Kalam cosmological argument, irreducible complexity.

Article history:

Received: 3 May 2026

Revised: 10 June 2026

Accepted: 14 October 2026.

Published: 17 October 2026

This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

* Corresponding author:

Ali Abedulameer Alhusayni

E-mail address:

alti99269@gmail.com

Philosophical and Scientific Introduction

Since the dawn of human civilization, the fundamental question of whether an intelligent cause underlies the existence of the universe, life, and consciousness has occupied philosophers and scientists alike. The twentieth and twenty-first centuries, however, introduced an unprecedented capacity to examine this question empirically: the discovery of DNA's double-helix structure in 1953, the completion of the Human Genome Project in 2003, the elucidation of immunological mechanisms at the molecular level, and advances in cryo-electron microscopy have collectively allowed scientists to read the intricate architecture of life with nanometer-level precision.

The profound paradox of this scientific revolution is that it has not weakened the case for design — it has deepened it. Every new layer of molecular detail uncovered by modern biochemistry reveals levels of organization, information, and functional integration that strain the explanatory capacity of undirected, purely stochastic processes. As Nobel laureate Francis Crick himself noted, biologists must remind themselves constantly that what they observe was not designed — an admission that betrays just how strongly the data suggests precisely that.

This paper employs the philosophical method of Inference to the Best Explanation (IBE), formalized by Peter Lipton, as its primary analytical

framework. IBE does not demand certainty; it asks which hypothesis, among all competing candidates, best accounts for the totality of observed phenomena — in terms of explanatory scope, coherence, and simplicity. Applied systematically across five independent biological domains, the cumulative weight of the evidence examined here consistently favors the hypothesis of an intelligent, transcendent cause.

Chapter 1: Irreducible Biological Complexity

1.1 The Concept of Irreducible Complexity

In his landmark 1996 work *Darwin's Black Box*, biochemist Michael Behe introduced the concept of irreducible complexity, defining it as follows: a single system composed of several well-matched, interacting parts that contribute to the basic function, wherein the removal of any one of the parts causes the system to effectively cease functioning. Behe argued that such systems cannot be built up by slight, successive modifications of precursor systems, because any precursor lacking a component would be non-functional and thus confer no selective advantage.

This argument does not merely invoke complexity as such — it invokes a specific kind of functional integration that is incompatible with the stepwise Darwinian mechanism. It is a biochemical challenge, leveled at precisely the level where Darwinian theory was always assumed to be most unassailable.

1.2 The Bacterial Flagellum: A Rotary Nanomachine

The bacterial flagellum is the most studied and most cited example of irreducible complexity. This remarkable molecular machine propels many bacterial species through liquid environments using a rotary mechanism that reaches speeds of up to 100,000 rpm. Far from being a simple appendage, it comprises over 40 distinct proteins organized into a structure with striking analogies to human-engineered motors.

Component	Biological Function	Engineering Analogue
	via proton gradient	
Drive Rod	Transmits torque through inner membrane	Drive shaft
L/P Rings (Bushings)	Anchors shaft through peptidoglycan and outer membrane	Ball bearings
Hook (Universal Joint)	Flexibly changes angle of rotation	Cardan/universal joint
Filament	Actual propulsion through fluid medium	Ship propeller
Switch Complex (C Ring)	Reverses rotation direction within milliseconds	Gear-shift mechanism
Type III Export Apparatus	Exports flagellin subunits for self-assembly	Self-assembling supply chain

Proponents of Darwinian evolution have proposed that flagellar components were co-opted from the Type III Secretion System (T3SS). However, genomic comparative analyses have demonstrated that T3SS is phylogenetically derived from the flagellum, not ancestral to it. Moreover, T3SS shares only approximately 10 of the 40+ proteins required for flagellar function — leaving the origin of the majority unaccounted for.

1.3 The Blood-Clotting Cascade

The vertebrate blood-clotting system represents another compelling example of irreducible biochemical complexity. Hemostasis — the arrest of bleeding — requires the precise sequential

Component	Biological Function	Engineering Analogue
MS/C Ring (Rotor)	Generates rotational force	Electric motor stator/rotor

activation of more than 20 plasma proteins in a tightly regulated cascade. Activation of one protein triggers the next in sequence, culminating in the conversion of fibrinogen to fibrin, which forms the structural basis of the blood clot.

The system is simultaneously required to: (a) activate rapidly upon vascular injury, (b) remain strictly localized to the wound site to prevent systemic thrombosis, and (c) degrade the clot once healing is complete. Each of these functional requirements is governed by dedicated inhibitory and regulatory proteins. The removal of any single component from this cascade produces either uncontrolled hemorrhage or fatal thrombosis — not a diminished but functional clotting response.

Behe notes that evolutionary biology has offered no detailed, testable Darwinian pathway for the origin of this system. Russell Doolittle's attempts to invoke homologous proteins from simpler organisms have been shown to require the prior existence of the very regulatory proteins whose origin is in question.

Chapter 2: Cellular Organization — The Nanoscale City

2.1 The Cell as a System of Systems

Throughout the nineteenth century, the cell was conceptualized as a simple, homogeneous blob of protoplasm — a living droplet. The advent of electron microscopy and, more recently, cryo-electron tomography and super-resolution fluorescence microscopy have irrevocably transformed this picture. The eukaryotic cell is now understood to be an extraordinarily complex system of interdependent systems — a self-replicating, self-repairing, information-processing nanoscale city with no parallel in human engineering.

2.2 The Ribosome: Molecular Translation Machine

The ribosome is arguably the most fundamentally important molecular machine in all biology. It constitutes the physical interface between the language of nucleic acids (DNA/RNA) and the language of proteins — performing the translation that makes all cellular life possible. In humans, the ribosome consists of two subunits containing 80+ proteins and 4 ribosomal RNA molecules, assembled in a precise hierarchical order.

Key performance metrics of the ribosome include: a translation rate of approximately 2 amino acids per second in bacteria and 4-6 per second in eukaryotes;

an amino acid incorporation error rate of approximately 1 in 10,000 (99.99% fidelity); and the capacity of mammalian cells to maintain up to 10 million ribosomes simultaneously. The ribosome also presents a profound chicken-and-egg problem: it is the machine that manufactures proteins, yet it is itself composed of proteins — meaning it cannot be assembled without the very products it is designed to produce.

2.3 ATP Synthase: The Proton-Driven Rotary Engine

ATP Synthase (F_0F_1 -ATPase) is a molecular turbine embedded in mitochondrial and chloroplast membranes that synthesizes adenosine triphosphate (ATP) — the universal energy currency of life — using the potential energy of a proton electrochemical gradient. The enzyme operates as a genuine rotary motor, with its c-subunit ring rotating at approximately 9,000 rpm, each rotation driving the synthesis of three ATP molecules.

The human body synthesizes and consumes its own body weight in ATP every single day. Each cardiac cell alone contains approximately 5,000 mitochondria to meet energy demands. The elegance, efficiency (approaching 100%), and miniaturization of ATP Synthase represent engineering achievements that far exceed anything humanity has constructed at comparable scales.

2.4 Cellular Logistics: Addressing and Delivery Systems

Organelle / System	Function	Engineering Analogue	Complexity Metric
Ribosome	mRNA translation into proteins	Programming compiler	80+ proteins, 4 rRNA molecules
Mitochondria	ATP synthesis	Nuclear power plant	Two separate genomes
Cell Nucleus	DNA storage, replication, transcription	Secure data center	1.8 m of DNA per nucleus

Organelle / System	Function	Engineering Analogue	Complexity Metric
Golgi Apparatus	Protein sorting, modification, dispatch	International cargo hub	Thousands of vesicles/minute
Proteasome	Selective protein degradation	Quality-control recycler	28 protease subunits
Cytoskeleton	Structural support, intracellular transport	Infrastructure + rail network	Three parallel polymer networks
Signal Transduction	Environmental sensing and response	Neural network / sensor array	Hundreds of interacting proteins

Chapter 3: Sexual Reproduction and the Origin of Life (Abiogenesis)

3.1 The Abiogenesis Problem

The question of how life arose from non-living chemistry — abiogenesis — remains one of the most profound and unresolved problems in science. Stanley Miller's landmark 1953 experiment demonstrated that simple amino acids could be generated under simulated early-Earth atmospheric conditions. This result, while important, is frequently overstated in popular accounts: producing amino acids in a flask is categorically different from producing a living cell.

The gap between simple organic molecules and even the simplest self-replicating cell involves multiple unsolved problems that remain as formidable today as they were half a century ago. These include:

- The chirality problem: Biological amino acids are exclusively left-handed (L-form), yet abiotic chemistry produces racemic mixtures. No known physical or chemical process selectively produces L-amino acids in sufficient enantiomeric excess under prebiotic conditions.
- The sequence problem: Even granting a pool of amino acids, the probability that any random polypeptide of 150 residues adopts a stable, functional fold is approximately 1 in 10^{77} ,

according to the experimental work of Douglas Axe (2004).

- The chicken-and-egg problem: Proteins require DNA for their synthesis; DNA requires proteins for its replication and protection. Neither can exist functionally without the other, yet both must have arisen simultaneously in the first cell.
- The compartmentalization problem: A functional cell requires a semi-permeable membrane that selectively imports nutrients and exports waste — a sophisticated structure whose abiotic formation has never been demonstrated in conjunction with encapsulated functional chemistry.

3.2 The RNA World Hypothesis: Promise and Limitations

The RNA World Hypothesis proposes that RNA molecules preceded and initially performed the functions of both DNA (information storage) and proteins (catalysis), before the modern division of labor evolved. This hypothesis has theoretical appeal because ribozymes (catalytic RNA molecules) demonstrate that RNA can indeed perform limited enzymatic functions. However, the hypothesis faces serious empirical challenges:

- No ribozyme capable of copying itself from scratch has ever been produced in the laboratory without extensive researcher intervention.
- RNA nucleotides are chemically unstable in aqueous environments, particularly in the presence of divalent metal ions and UV radiation.
- The prebiotic synthesis of activated RNA nucleotides under geologically plausible conditions has not been demonstrated.
- Even a successful self-replicating ribozyme would still need to evolve the ribosome, the genetic code, and the entire translational apparatus — each step representing a separate, unsolved problem of comparable magnitude.

3.3 Sexual Reproduction: An Evolutionary Paradox
Sexual reproduction (as opposed to asexual reproduction) is one of the deepest puzzles in evolutionary biology. From a purely Darwinian fitness perspective, sexual reproduction incurs a significant cost: females who reproduce sexually produce only half as many offspring carrying their genes as females who reproduce asexually (the two-fold cost of sex / cost of males). Despite this

apparent fitness disadvantage, sexual reproduction evolved independently more than 40 times across the tree of life.

The leading evolutionary explanations — the Red Queen hypothesis (arms race with rapidly co-evolving parasites), Muller's Ratchet (preventing accumulation of deleterious mutations), and the DNA repair hypothesis — each address a subset of the phenomenon but none provides a complete account of the independent origin of compatible reproductive organs, meiosis, and fertilization mechanisms.

3.4 Molecular Fertilization: Precision at the Nanoscale

Human fertilization requires a cascade of exquisitely precise molecular recognition events: zona pellucida glycoprotein ZP3 on the egg surface binds exclusively to species-specific sperm receptors, triggering the acrosome reaction — the controlled release of digestive enzymes that penetrate the zona pellucida. Following penetration, cortical granule exocytosis permanently modifies the zona to block polyspermy. The karyogamy of two haploid cells (each carrying 23 chromosomes) to produce a diploid zygote (46 chromosomes) requires an additional layer of molecular coordination to align, check, and fuse the chromatin correctly. Failure of any of these steps results in complete reproductive failure.

Chapter 4: Genetic Information — The Language of Life

4.1 DNA: The Densest Information Storage Medium Known

The deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) molecule represents the most information-dense storage medium yet discovered in nature or produced by human engineering. A single gram of DNA can theoretically store approximately 215 petabytes (2.15×10^{17} bytes) of digital data. The human genome, comprising 3.2 billion base pairs, encodes information equivalent to approximately 3,200 volumes of the Encyclopaedia Britannica.

Storage Medium	Information Density	Estimated Stability
Hard Disk Drive (HDD)	~0.002 petabytes/gram	5–10 years

Storage Medium	Information Density	Estimated Stability
Solid-State Flash (SSD)	~0.003 petabytes/gram	10–20 years
Magnetic Tape (LTO)	~0.001 petabytes/gram	30 years
DNA (biological)	~215 petabytes/gram	Hundreds of thousands of years

Beyond raw storage density, DNA is a dynamic system: it is read, copied, repaired, and regulated with extraordinary precision in real time inside every living cell. The molecular machinery involved — DNA polymerases, helicases, topoisomerases, repair enzymes, and regulatory proteins — constitutes a miniaturized information technology ecosystem of unrivaled sophistication.

4.2 The Genetic Code: A Language Optimized Beyond Chance

The genetic code is the mapping between 64 possible trinucleotide codons (combinations of the four RNA bases) and the 20 standard amino acids (plus stop signals) incorporated into proteins. This code is nearly universal across all known life, from bacteria to humans — an observation consistent with a single common origin or with a single optimal solution.

In a landmark 1998 study, Freeland and Hurst systematically evaluated the genetic code against approximately 10^{18} alternative possible codes using error-minimization as the fitness criterion. They found that the natural genetic code outperforms all but approximately 1 in a million randomly generated alternatives in minimizing the disruptive effect of point mutations on protein structure — a performance level far exceeding what chance-based origin scenarios can plausibly generate.

Theoretical biologist Hubert Yockey and others have further argued that the genetic code exhibits properties of a conventionally assigned code — that is, the relationship between codons and amino acids is not determined by any chemical necessity, but is instead an arbitrary mapping, of precisely the kind that in all other contexts requires an intelligent

agent to establish.

"The genetic code is nearly optimal for minimizing the effects of errors. This is unlikely to have arisen by chance, and points to design." — Freeland SJ, Hurst LD (1998). *J Mol Evol* 47:238–248.

4.3 The Information Argument: Stephen C. Meyer, Philosopher of science Stephen C. Meyer, in *Signature in the Cell* (2009), presents what is arguably the most philosophically rigorous version of the design argument from genetics. Meyer's core claim is epistemological: in every domain of human experience, functional specified information — information that is both complex and organized to achieve a specific function — arises exclusively from intelligent agents. Not once in recorded scientific history has functional specified information been observed to arise from unguided physical or chemical processes alone.

DNA carries functional specified information in precisely the sense defined: its base sequences are complex (vastly improbable), specified (they encode functional proteins), and non-repetitive (ruling out crystal-like chemical necessity as the organizing principle). Meyer argues that the uniformity of human experience therefore constitutes strong inductive grounds for inferring intelligent causation as the source of the information in DNA.

4.4 Epigenetics: A Second Layer of Information

Recent decades have revealed that the genome contains not one but at least two layers of information: the primary DNA sequence, and an epigenetic layer comprising DNA methylation patterns, histone modification codes, chromatin remodeling, and non-coding RNA regulatory networks. This epigenetic layer determines when, where, and how strongly each gene is expressed — and can be heritably transmitted across generations without changes to the underlying DNA sequence. The existence of this secondary information system compounds the design inference: not only must the primary sequence be explained, but also the regulatory code that interprets it.

Chapter 5: The Immune System — The Intelligent Army

5.1 Self/Non-Self Discrimination

The vertebrate adaptive immune system achieves something no human-engineered defense system has yet replicated: it reliably and specifically distinguishes between the approximately 37 trillion cells that constitute the human body and any foreign molecular structure — bacterial, viral, parasitic, fungal, or malignant — that does not belong. This discrimination is accomplished with remarkable accuracy and without prior exposure to the specific threat. The sophistication of this architecture is, by any metric, extraordinary.

5.2 Antibody Diversity: Combinatorial Genius

The human immune system is capable of generating in excess of 10^{18} (one quintillion) structurally distinct antibody molecules. This staggering diversity is achieved through a process unique in all biology: V(D)J recombination, in which the variable regions of immunoglobulin genes are assembled by deliberate, enzymatically controlled rearrangement of gene segments selected from combinatorial libraries. Junctional diversity is further amplified by imprecise joining (P-nucleotides) and template-independent nucleotide addition (N-nucleotides).

This mechanism solves an information-theoretic problem of profound depth: how to encode the capacity to recognize any possible molecular shape — including pathogens that have not yet evolved — within a genome of finite size. The solution is not brute-force storage but a generative combinatorial algorithm of extraordinary elegance.

5.3 Thymic Education: Precision Selection

Before T lymphocytes are released into the peripheral circulation, they undergo a rigorous two-stage selection process in the thymus. In positive selection, T cells that fail to recognize self-MHC molecules are eliminated (approximately 95% of thymocytes). In negative selection, T cells that bind too strongly to self-peptide/MHC complexes are deleted to prevent autoimmunity. Only the approximately 2–5% of T cells that recognize self-MHC with intermediate affinity survive and are licensed to patrol the body.

This dual selection process produces an immune repertoire exquisitely tuned to distinguish self from non-self. Failure of either selection step produces catastrophic disease: insufficient negative selection

results in autoimmune diseases such as type 1 diabetes, multiple sclerosis, or systemic lupus erythematosus; insufficient positive selection produces profound immunodeficiency. The precision of this calibration is not merely impressive — it is functionally irreducible.

Cell Type	Primary Function	Key Molecular Mechanism
Cytotoxic T cell (CD8+)	Kill virus-infected and tumor cells	Perforin/Granzyme-mediated apoptosis
Helper T cell (CD4+)	Coordinate immune responses	Cytokine secretion (IL-2, IFN- γ)
B lymphocyte	Produce antibodies	V(D)J recombination, somatic hypermutation
Natural Killer (NK) cell	Innate immediate cytotoxicity	KIR receptor surveillance of MHC-I
Dendritic cell	Antigen presentation; bridge innate/adaptive	MHC class I and II presentation
Regulatory T cell (Treg)	Suppress excessive immune activation	FOXP3 transcription factor

5.4 Immunological Memory

Following resolution of an immune response, a population of long-lived memory lymphocytes persists — sometimes for the lifetime of the organism. These cells are characterized by lower activation thresholds, faster proliferative responses, and enhanced effector functions upon secondary antigen encounter. The molecular mechanisms underpinning immunological memory — epigenetic reprogramming, metabolic adaptation, and transcription factor networks distinct from those of naive cells — are now understood in considerable detail, yet the evolutionary origin of this sophisticated anticipatory system has no satisfying gradualist explanation.

Chapter 6: Phenomena Without Known Origins

6.1 Consciousness: The Hard Problem

In 1995, philosopher David Chalmers formalized what he termed the Hard Problem of Consciousness: the question of why physical processes in the brain give rise to subjective, first-person experience at all. Why is there something it is like to be a human being, rather than merely complex electrochemical computation occurring in the dark? Why does pain hurt? Why does red look the way it does?

Despite remarkable advances in neuroscience, the Hard Problem remains entirely unresolved within materialist frameworks. No mechanism has been proposed — let alone demonstrated — by which objective neural correlates of consciousness (NCCs) give rise to subjective phenomenal experience (qualia). Philosopher Colin McGinn has argued that the human mind may be constitutionally incapable of solving this problem from within a purely physical paradigm.

6.2 The Fine-Tuning of the Universe

Modern cosmology and particle physics have revealed that the fundamental constants governing the universe appear to be calibrated with extraordinary precision to permit the existence of complex structures — and ultimately, life. This observation, known as the Fine-Tuning of the Universe, constitutes what physicist Paul Davies has called the most compelling argument for the existence of a transcendent cause.

Fundamental Constant	Observed Value	Tolerance for Life	Effect of Deviation
Gravitational constant (G)	$6.674 \times 10^{-11} \text{ N}\cdot\text{m}^2/\text{kg}^2$	$\pm 0.00001\%$	Stars collapse or disperse
Electron charge (e)	$1.602 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C}$	$\pm 3.5\%$	Atoms cannot form
Cosmological constant (Λ)	$\sim 10^{-122}$ Planck units	1 in 10^{120}	Universe expands too fast for galaxies
Proton/electron mass ratio	1836.15	$\pm 3\%$	No stable molecules possible

Fundamental Constant	Observed Value	Tolerance for Life	Effect of Deviation
Weak nuclear force coupling	$1.166 \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$	$\pm 2\%$	Disrupted hydrogen-helium ratio
Strong nuclear force	0.007 (dimensionless)	$\pm 0.5\%$	No carbon or oxygen synthesis in stars

Mathematician Roger Penrose estimated the probability of the low-entropy initial conditions of the Big Bang at approximately 1 in $10^{(10^{123})}$ — a number so astronomically large that it exceeds the number of atoms in the observable universe by incomprehensibly many orders of magnitude. The primary atheistic response — the Multiverse hypothesis — remains entirely speculative, unsupported by direct observational evidence, and itself requires precisely tuned laws governing the generation of multiple universes.

6.3 The Limits of Physics: The Planck Wall

General Relativity and Quantum Mechanics — the two great pillars of modern physics — are mutually inconsistent at the extreme conditions that prevailed in the earliest moments of the universe. Before approximately 10^{-43} seconds after the Big Bang (the Planck time), all current physical theories break down completely. Science, by its own internal logic, cannot reach behind the Planck wall to describe what caused or preceded the singularity. This is not a gap likely to be filled by incremental progress; it marks a fundamental limit of the physical description of reality.

6.4 Convergent Evolution: Pattern Without Foresight?

Convergent evolution — the independent origin of similar structures in unrelated lineages — poses a subtle but significant challenge to purely stochastic accounts of evolution. The complex camera-type eye has evolved independently in at least 40 unrelated lineages, including vertebrates and cephalopod mollusks (such as octopuses), whose last common ancestor had no eyes at all. Powered flight evolved independently in birds, bats, pterosaurs, and insects. Echolocation arose

independently in bats and dolphins. The repeated convergence on the same functional solutions across independent evolutionary pathways suggests that life may be channeled toward a limited set of optimal designs — raising the question of whether those designs reflect an underlying intentional architecture.

Chapter 7: Major Philosophical Arguments

7.1 The Classical Design Argument: William Paley
Natural theology's most celebrated formulation of the design argument was articulated by William Paley in his 1802 work *Natural Theology: or, Evidences of the Existence and Attributes of the Deity*. Paley's watchmaker analogy — if one found a watch on a heath and examined its interlocking gears and springs, one would infer a watchmaker — was intended to show that complexity organized for function cannot arise without an intelligent cause. David Hume had anticipated objections to such arguments in his *Dialogues Concerning Natural Religion* (1779), but many of Hume's specific criticisms have been rendered obsolete by molecular biology, which has revealed biological machinery of a complexity and precision far exceeding anything Hume or Paley could have imagined.

7.2 Specified Complexity: William Dembski
William Dembski, in *The Design Inference* (1998) and *No Free Lunch* (2002), developed a rigorous mathematical framework for distinguishing design from chance and necessity. His central concept, Specified Complexity (SC), identifies patterns that are both improbable (complex) and match an independently recognizable pattern (specified). Dembski argues, using an information-theoretic analysis, that the universal probability bound — approximately 10^{150} — represents the total number of events physically possible in the history of the observable universe, and that any event more improbable than this bound cannot plausibly be attributed to chance, regardless of the number of opportunities.

Applied to biology, Dembski contends that the information content of a single functional protein of modest length exceeds the universal probability bound by many orders of magnitude, thereby formally ruling out chance as its source.

7.3 The Fine-Tuning Argument (Teleological

Argument, Modern Form)

The fine-tuning argument, as elaborated by Robin Collins and John Leslie among others, constitutes the most scientifically grounded version of the classical teleological argument. Its logical structure is as follows: given the extraordinarily narrow parameter ranges within which life-permitting universes are possible, and given that we observe precisely such a universe, the probability of this observation under the hypothesis of an undesigned, naturally-given universe is vanishingly small. By contrast, under the hypothesis of a designer who intended to create a life-permitting universe, the observation has high probability. Bayesian reasoning therefore strongly favors the design hypothesis.

The Multiverse response — that if sufficiently many universes exist, a life-permitting one is inevitable — commits what philosophers call the Inverse Gambler's Fallacy: reasoning backward from the observation of a specific outcome to conclude that a large ensemble must exist. Moreover, as philosopher Robin Collins notes, any multiverse-generating mechanism must itself be governed by finely tuned physical laws — merely displacing rather than dissolving the design inference.

"A common sense interpretation of the facts suggests that a super-intellect has monkeyed with physics, as well as with chemistry and biology, and that there are no blind forces worth speaking of in nature." — Fred Hoyle, astrophysicist, *Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics* (1982)

7.4 Philosophical Proofs for the Existence of a Creator

The Qur'an does not present arguments in formal syllogistic form, but it contains several verses that later theologians and philosophers developed into structured proofs. This tradition reached its most rigorous philosophical expression in the Shia school of "Transcendent Wisdom" (al-Hikmah al-Muta'aliyah), founded by Mulla Sadra and carried forward by Nasir al-Din al-Tusi, Allamah Tabatabai, Ayatollah Mutahhari, and Ayatollah Khomeini.

Qur'anic Foundations:

Surah At-Tur poses a logical trilemma: "Or were they created out of nothing? Or were they themselves the creators?" (Qur'an 52:35). Existing things either came from nothing without cause, or created themselves, or have a creator. The first option is incoherent because something cannot emerge from absolute nonexistence without a cause; the second is self-contradictory because a thing cannot exist prior to itself in order to bring itself into being. This leaves the third option as the only coherent one, and the verse became the textual basis for what theologians call the argument from creation, or origination (al-ibda').

The Argument from Mutual Hindrance (Burhan al-Tamanu'):

Surah Al-Anbiya states: "If there had been within the heavens and earth gods besides Allah, they both would have been ruined" (Qur'an 21:22). This verse is primarily about divine unity, but Muslim theologians extended it into an argument for a single necessary governor of the cosmos: multiple independent, all-powerful agents would produce conflicting wills and cosmic disorder, yet the universe exhibits sustained order, which points to a single governing source.

Verses of Reflection on the Horizons and the Self

Several verses call on humans to examine nature and their own constitution: "Have they not reflected within themselves?" (30:8); "We will show them Our signs in the horizons and within themselves" (41:53); "And on the earth are signs for the certain [in faith], and in yourselves. Then will you not see?" (51:20–21). These passages underlie the teleological argument from design: the fine-tuned order of nature implies a wise orderer.

The Verse of Fitra

"...the nature [originated by] Allah upon which He has created mankind" (Qur'an 30:30), reinforced by the primordial covenant passage in Surah Al-A'raf (7:172). These verses ground the argument from innate disposition (fitra): knowledge of a Creator is built into the human constitution prior to discursive reasoning.

Allamah Tabatabai connected these verses directly to philosophical demonstration in his Qur'anic commentary al-Mizan fi Tafsir al-Qur'an, using his "Qur'an-by-Qur'an" exegetical method (see Source

6 below).

Philosophical Proofs in the Shia Tradition:

1. The Argument from Contingency and Necessity — Nasir al-Din al-Tusi

This argument originated with Avicenna (Ibn Sina, d. 1037) but became a pillar of Shia philosophical theology through Nasir al-Din al-Tusi (d. 1274) in his commentary *Sharh al-Isharat* (formally *Hall Mushkilat al-Isharat wa al-Tanbihat*), written in defense of Avicenna's philosophy against the criticism of Fakhr al-Din al-Razi. For this and other contributions, al-Tusi earned the title "the third teacher" (al-mu'allim al-thalith), after Aristotle and al-Farabi. The argument holds that everything is either necessary in itself or contingent, dependent on something else. The totality of contingent things, however structured its causal chain, remains itself contingent and requires an explanation for why it exists rather than not — a chain that must terminate in a being necessary in itself: God. (Source 31)

2. The Argument of the Righteous (Burhan al-Siddiqin) — Mulla Sadra

Mulla Sadra (d. 1640) regarded this as the noblest of all proofs because, unlike the contingency argument, it starts directly from the reality of existence (wujud) itself rather than reasoning back from created things. His metaphysics holds that existence is a single graded reality, ranging from the weakest, most limited modes (contingent beings) to absolute, unlimited existence; a limited degree of being is intelligible only as a manifestation of an absolute, unlimited source. Sadra's principal statement of this argument appears in his treatise *Kitab al-Masha'ir* (translated into English as *The Book of Metaphysical Penetrations*) and throughout his magnum opus *al-Hikmat al-Muta'aliyah fi al-Asfar al-'Aqliyyah al-Arba'a*, commonly known as *al-Asfar* ("The Four Journeys"), which became the foundation of all his subsequent writings. (Sources 32 and 33)

3. Allamah Tabatabai's Refinement of the Proof

Among Tabatabai's (d. 1981) most significant contributions to Islamic philosophy are the footnotes he wrote while editing Mulla Sadra's *Asfar*. One of the most cited of these presents a reformulated version of burhan al-siddiqin using the term "reality" (waqi'iyah) alongside the traditional term "existence" (wujud); it appears at the

beginning of the sixth volume of the *Asfar*, on page fourteen of the Beirut edition, continuing onto the following page. Tabatabai also restated these proofs for students in two textbooks written at the request of a seminary administration that wanted philosophy added to the curriculum: *Bidayat al-Hikmah* (translated into English by Sayyid 'Ali Quli Qara'i as *The Elements of Islamic Metaphysics*, ICAS Press, 2018) and the more advanced *Nihayat al-Hikmah*. (Sources 34, 35, and 36)

4. Tabatabai and Mutahhari — Principles of Philosophy and the Method of Realism

This work consists of fourteen articles written by Tabatabai on Islamic philosophy and epistemology, published in five volumes, with extensive explanatory footnotes added by his student Ayatollah Murtaza Mutahhari (d. 1979). The footnotes elucidate complex arguments such as the primacy of existence (asalat al-wujud) and respond to Western and Marxist critiques current in mid-twentieth-century Iran. (Source 37)

5. Ayatollah Khomeini and the Sadrian Tradition

Khomeini began teaching philosophy at the age of twenty-seven, training an elite circle of students — most notably Mutahhari — on the section on the soul from Mulla Sadra's *Asfar al-Arba'a* and on Sabzavari's *Sharh-i Manzumah*, a versified commentary on Sadra's philosophy. His own writings from this period include a detailed 1928 commentary on the *Du'a al-Sahar*, the 1930 treatise *Misbah al-Hidayah ila al-Khilafah wa al-Wilayah* on the inner reality of prophecy and imamate, and a series of glosses on Qaysari's commentary on Ibn 'Arabi's *Fusus al-Hikam*. Ayatollah Khamenei has described Khomeini as "a notable specialist on Mulla Sadra's philosophy," who benefited from Tabatabai's teaching of the foundations of that philosophy in the seminary of Qom. (Sources 38, 39, and 40)

Note: Khomeini's direct philosophical engagement with Sadra centered more on the mystical dimension (the soul, Ibn 'Arabi's metaphysics, the spiritual ascent) than on an independent treatise specifically reformulating burhan al-siddiqin. The most precise twentieth-century source for that particular proof remains Tabatabai's footnote on the *Asfar* and Mutahhari's commentary on it.

Conclusions

This paper has examined five independent lines of biological and cosmological evidence, each presenting significant challenges to purely materialist accounts of the origin and organization of life:

Domain	Key Evidence	Outstanding Explanatory Gap
Biological Complexity	Bacterial flagellum (40+ integrated proteins)	No Darwinian pathway demonstrated
Cellular Organization	Ribosome, ATP Synthase, V(D)J system	Chicken-and-egg assembly problem
Abiogenesis	Chirality, sequence, compartment problems	No plausible prebiotic pathway to first cell
Genetic Information	10^{18} alternative codes; optimal code selected	Origin of functional specified information
Immune System	10^{18} antibodies; self/non-self discrimination	Origin of thymic selection and memory
Cosmological Fine-Tuning	Six constants calibrated to 1 in 10^{120}	No testable multiverse evidence exists

The cumulative weight of this evidence supports a conclusion that classical materialist explanations — mutation, natural selection, chemical self-organization, and stochastic processes operating across geological time — are insufficient to account for the full range of biological phenomena we observe. Each domain individually poses serious challenges; taken together, they constitute a powerful cumulative case for intelligent causation. This conclusion does not constitute proof of a specific religious tradition's claims, nor does it exceed the appropriate epistemic humility of

science. What it does constitute is a rational, evidence-based inference — using precisely the same methodology of Inference to the Best Explanation that underlies all scientific discovery — to the conclusion that the origin of biological complexity, information, and organization requires a cause that transcends the material universe.

In the words of astronomer and cosmologist Fred Hoyle: there is no satisfying blind-forces account of nature when confronted with the full breadth of what we now know. The data, taken as a whole, invites the hypothesis of a designer with more intellectual honesty than it warrants the hypothesis of fortuitous coincidence on a cosmic scale.

"When I began my career as a cosmologist some twenty years ago, I was a convinced atheist... It was my science that changed my mind." — Allan Sandage, The Vatican Observatory Conference on Cosmology and Theology (1985)

References

1. Axe DD. Estimating the prevalence of protein sequences adopting functional enzyme folds. *J Mol Biol.* 2004;341(5):1295-315. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2004.06.058
2. Berg HC. The rotary motor of bacterial flagella. *Annu Rev Biochem.* 2003;72:19-54. doi:10.1146/annurev.biochem.72.121801.161737
3. Borde A, Guth AH, Vilenkin A. Inflationary spacetimes are not past-complete. *Phys Rev Lett.* 2003;90(15):151301. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.151301
4. Freeland SJ, Hurst LD. The genetic code is one in a million. *J Mol Evol.* 1998;47(3):238-48. doi:10.1007/PL00006381
5. Macnab RM. How bacteria assemble flagella. *Annu Rev Microbiol.* 2003;57:77-

100.
doi:10.1146/annurev.micro.57.030502.090832
6. Miller SL. A production of amino acids under possible primitive earth conditions. *Science*. 1953;117(3046):528-9. doi:10.1126/science.117.3046.528
7. Orgel LE. The origin of life — a review of facts and speculations. *Trends Biochem Sci*. 1998;23(12):491-5. doi:10.1016/S0968-0004(98)01300-0
8. Tonegawa S. Somatic generation of antibody diversity. *Nature*. 1983;302(5909):575-81. doi:10.1038/302575a0
9. Walker SI, Davies PC. The algorithmic origins of life. *J R Soc Interface*. 2013;10(79):20120869. doi:10.1098/rsif.2012.0869
10. Blackburn CC, Manley NR. Developing a new paradigm for thymus organogenesis. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2004;4(4):278-89. doi:10.1038/nri1331
11. Yockey HP. Self organization origin of life scenarios and information theory. *J Theor Biol*. 1981;91(1):13-31. doi:10.1016/0022-5193(81)90183-5
12. Hoyle F. The universe: past and present reflections. *Annu Rev Astron Astrophys*. 1982;20:1-35. doi:10.1146/annurev.aa.20.090182.000245
13. Behe MJ. *Darwin's Black Box: The Biochemical Challenge to Evolution*. 2nd ed. New York: Free Press; 2006. 352 p.
14. Meyer SC. *Signature in the Cell: DNA and the Evidence for Intelligent Design*. New York: HarperOne; 2009. 611 p.
15. Meyer SC. *Darwin's Doubt: The Explosive Origin of Animal Life and the Case for Intelligent Design*. New York: HarperOne; 2013. 498 p.
16. Dembski WA. *The Design Inference: Eliminating Chance through Small Probabilities*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1998. 243 p.
17. Dembski WA. *No Free Lunch: Why Specified Complexity Cannot Be Purchased without Intelligence*. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield; 2002. 404 p.
18. Craig WL. *The Kalam Cosmological Argument*. New York: Barnes & Noble; 1979. 224 p.
19. Craig WL, Moreland JP, editors. *The Blackwell Companion to Natural Theology*. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell; 2009. 672 p.
20. Chalmers DJ. *The Conscious Mind: In Search of a Fundamental Theory*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1996. 414 p.
21. Lipton P. *Inference to the Best Explanation*. 2nd ed. London: Routledge; 2004. 230 p.
22. Penrose R. *The Road to Reality: A Complete Guide to the Laws of the Universe*. London: Jonathan Cape; 2004. 1099 p.
23. Rees MJ. *Just Six Numbers: The Deep Forces That Shape the Universe*. New York: Basic Books; 2000. 208 p.
24. Davies P. *The Fifth Miracle: The Search for the Origin and Meaning of Life*. New York: Simon & Schuster; 1999. 288 p.
25. Paley W. *Natural Theology: or, Evidences of the Existence and Attributes of the Deity*. London: R. Faulder; 1802. 564 p.
26. Yockey HP. *Information Theory, Evolution, and the Origin of Life*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2005. 272 p.
27. Lennox JC. *God's Undertaker: Has Science Buried God?* Oxford: Lion Hudson; 2009. 192 p.
28. Schroeder GL. *The Hidden Face of God: Science Reveals the Ultimate Truth*. New York: Touchstone; 2001. 224 p.
29. Collins R. The fine-tuning design argument. In: Murray MJ, editor. *Reason*

- for the Hope Within. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans; 1999. p. 47-75.
30. Morowitz HJ. *Energy Flow in Biology*. New York: Academic Press; 1968. 179 p.
 31. Nasir al-Din al-Tusi, *Sharh al-Isharat [Hall Mushkilat al-Isharat wa al-Tanbihat]*, a commentary on Avicenna's *al-Isharat wa al-Tanbihat* written in defense of Avicenna against Fakhr al-Din al-Razi's criticism. Discussed on "Sharh al-Isharat," Wikipedia, and "Nasir al-Din al-Tusi," The Philosophy Room.
 32. Mulla Sadra, *Kitab al-Masha'ir*, translated as *Metaphysical Penetrations: A Parallel English-Arabic Text* (also published as *The Book of Metaphysical Penetrations*), trans. Seyyed Hossein Nasr, ed. Ibrahim Kalin, Brigham Young University Press, 2014.
 33. Mulla Sadra, *al-Hikmat al-Muta'aliyah fi al-Asfar al-'Aqliyyah al-Arba'a [al-Asfar al-Arba'a]*, Sadra's principal philosophical and theological summa; see Sajjad Rizvi, "Mulla Sadra," *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, and "Sadr al-Din al-Shirazi," *WikiShia*.
 34. Muhammad Legenhausen, "Allamah Tabataba'i's Footnote to Mulla Sadra's Proof of the Sincere," *Al-Islam.org* — detailed discussion of Tabatabai's reformulation of *burhan al-siddiqin* in his edition of the *Asfar* (vol. 6, p. 14, Beirut edition).
 35. Sayyid Muhammad Husayn Tabatabai, *Bidayat al-Hikmah*, trans. Sayyid 'Ali Quli Qara'i as *The Elements of Islamic Metaphysics*, ICAS Press, 2018 (and its companion volume, *Nihayat al-Hikmah*).
 36. "Tabataba'i, Muhammad Husayn," *Tahoor Islamic Encyclopedia* — lists Tabatabai's works, including *Tafsir al-Mizan*, *Principles of Philosophy and the Method of Realism*, and his Gloss on the *Asfar* of Mulla Sadra.
 37. "The Principles of Philosophy and the Method of Realism," *Wikipedia* — fourteen articles by Tabatabai with extensive commentary footnotes by Murtaza Mutahhari, published in five volumes.
 38. Hamid Algar, "The Years of Spiritual and Intellectual Formation in Qum, 1923 to 1962," in *Imam Khomeini: A Short Biography*, *Al-Islam.org* — on Khomeini teaching the section on the soul from Mulla Sadra's *Asfar al-Arba'a* and writing the *Du'a al-Sahar* commentary and *Misbah al-Hidayah*.
 39. "The Fusion of the Gnostic and the Political in the Personality and Life of Imam Khomeini," *ICIT Digital Library* — on Khomeini training Mutahhari and others on Sadra's *Asfar* and Sabzavari's *Sharh-i Manzumah*.
 40. "Mulla Sadra's Philosophy Exceeds Borders of Nationality, Geography," *Khamenei.ir* — Ayatollah Khamenei's description of Khomeini as a specialist in Mulla Sadra's philosophy, trained under Tabatabai.

To site this article: *Alhusayni A. A. Evidence For The Existence Of A Creator A Scientific and Philosophical Inquiry into Biological Complexity, Cellular Organization, Sexual Reproduction, Genetic Information, and Immunology. Infinity J. Med. Innov. 2026; 2(1): 119-131.*